Thank you for your attendance and participation.

**Provost’s Chairs Retreat**
**Evaluation Results**

Your feedback is critical to ensure that we are meeting your needs. We would appreciate if you could take a few minutes to share your opinion with us.

**Event Title:** Provost’s Chairs Retreat

**Event Theme:** Networking Conversations

**Date:** June 20, 2008

For each of the following areas, please indicate your reaction:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Content</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Needs Improvement</th>
<th>Not Applicable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Covered Useful Material</td>
<td>[21] 78%</td>
<td>[6] 22%</td>
<td>[0] 0%</td>
<td>[0] 0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well Organized</td>
<td>[20] 71%</td>
<td>[8] 29%</td>
<td>[0] 0%</td>
<td>[0] 0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective Discussion</td>
<td>[19] 76%</td>
<td>[6] 24%</td>
<td>[0] 0%</td>
<td>[0] 0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roundtables</td>
<td>[13] 81%</td>
<td>[3] 19%</td>
<td>[0] 0%</td>
<td>[0] 0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective Format</td>
<td>[21] 72%</td>
<td>[8] 28%</td>
<td>[0] 0%</td>
<td>[0] 0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smooth Facilitation</td>
<td>[14] 52%</td>
<td>[13] 48%</td>
<td>[0] 0%</td>
<td>[0] 0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adequate Coverage of Topics</td>
<td>[8] 30%</td>
<td>[17] 63%</td>
<td>[2] 7%</td>
<td>[0] 0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How could this Chairs Retreat be improved?

- Consider more frequent meetings during the academic year
- Create a committee of chair mentors for chairs serving less than 3 years
- Measure level of achievement of recommendations
- Great improvement from last retreat
- It is already very good as is
- Move strategic topics
- Fewer topics; more time for each
- Need more time for roundtables in order to have in-depth coverage of topics
- Depending on subject, it might be interesting to invite an expert from outside campus to give a presentation
- The room is nice; however, the tables are too close to each other. Noise may be disturbing. The hotel staff working around, makes a lot of noise too (setting – up for lunch for example)
- More in-depth topic discussion on specific areas related to enrollment management (registrar, financial aid, student accounts, orientation)
- More time for single topics of importance, four is too many
- Separate rooms if possible. Some groups were loud. Input levels varied. Some facilitators dominated the discussion.
- Focus topic and work through solution that can lead to outcome, policy that has consensus report
- Opportunities to interact with chairs who chose other numbers (1-4), other than remain with the same groups for all 4 roundtables.
Other comments or suggestions:
- Probably best yet
- Facilitators kept discussion relevant and on point
- The location was fantastic! The facility and food was great!
- Healthy snacks for break please.
- Why hold retreat during summer?
- Very informative sessions
- Provide report on implementation or new policies that occur as a result of this retreat
- New chairs need an orientation (guess who wrote this)

Overall, how would you evaluate the Chairs Retreat?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Excellent</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Poor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[16] 64%</td>
<td>[9] 36%</td>
<td>[0] 0%</td>
<td>[0] 0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Thank you for your attendance and participation.